

CENTENNIAL YEAR

The Sabbath Watchman

March-April 2014



**Reformation in the
20th Century and Beyond**

page 8

Centennial Commemoration

page 17

The First Christians and War

page 21

The Important Theme

The whole of the gospel is embraced in the third angel's message, and in all our work the truth is to be presented as it is in Jesus. In the preaching of the word the first and most important thing is to melt and subdue the soul by presenting the Lord Jesus Christ as the sin-pardoning Saviour. We are to keep before the people the cross of Calvary. We should teach them that Christ's death was caused by the transgression of the law of God; that Christ died to give men an opportunity of becoming loyal subjects of His kingdom. Never should a sermon be preached, or Bible instruction in any line be given, without pointing the hearers to the Lamb of God that taketh away the sin of the world.

But we should be careful not to lessen the force of the warning which God has given for this time. We are in danger of giving the message in so indefinite a manner that it does not impress the people. So many other interests are brought in, that the very message which should be proclaimed with power becomes tame and voiceless. While the churches profess to believe in Christ, they are violating the law which Christ Himself proclaimed from Sinai. The Lord bids us, "Lift up thy voice like a trumpet, and show My people their transgression, and the house of Jacob their sins." Isaiah 58:1. The trumpet is to give a certain sound. Lift up the standard, the commandments of God and the faith of Jesus. Make this the important theme. Then by your strong arguments wall it in, and make it of still greater force. Dwell more on the Revelation. Read, explain, and enforce its teaching.

Our warfare is aggressive. Tremendous issues are before us, yea, and right upon us. Our prayers should ascend to God that the four angels may be commissioned to hold the four winds, that they may not blow to injure or destroy until the last warning has been given to the world. Then let us work in harmony with our prayers. Let nothing lessen the force of the truth for this time. The third angel's message must do its work of separating from the churches a people who will take their stand on the platform of eternal truth. —*Australian Union Conference Record*, June 1, 1900.

Ellen G. White.

In this issue

Spirits and War	3
Reformation in the 20th Century and Beyond	8
Textual Analysis	14
Centennial Commemoration	17
The Divine-Human Family, No. 2—All in Him	21
The Way of the Adventists, Part 18	26
The First Christians and War	30
News to Inspire	33
Preparing for the Abodes of Bliss	36

The Sabbath Watchman

Vol. 89, No. 2

Beacon of hope, faith, and truth in a confused world.

We believe:


- The all-wise, loving God created all things in the universe by His Son, Jesus Christ; He is its Owner and Sustainer.
- He met the challenge to His loving leadership and authority by reconciling the world to Himself through the life, death, and resurrection of His Son, the Word made flesh.
- The Holy Spirit, Jesus' representative on earth, convicts of sin, guides into truth, and, when abiding in man, overcomes all unrighteousness.
- The Bible is the record of God's dealings with mankind and the standard of all doctrine; the Ten Commandments are the transcript of His character and the foundation of all enduring reform.
- His people, in harmony with God's Word and under the direction of the Holy Spirit, call all men everywhere to be reconciled to God through faith in Jesus.
- Bible prophecy reveals that earth's history will soon close with the visible return of Jesus Christ as King to claim all who have accepted Him as the world's only Redeemer and their Lord.

THE SABBATH WATCHMAN is the official publication of the Seventh-day Adventist Church, Reform Movement, International Missionary Society, General Conference, 625 West Avenue, Cedartown, GA 30125. Phone 770-748-0077 / Fax 770-748-0095 / Email info@sda1844.org / Website www.sda1844.org.

Published six times per year by the General Conference Publishing Department. Printed and distributed by Religious Liberty Publishing Assn. Mail or email subscription orders to 9999 East Mississippi Avenue, Denver, CO 80247 / info@sda1888.org. Annual rate (U.S. funds only): U.S.A.—\$30; Foreign—\$45. Phone 916-765-3389 / Website www.sda1888.org.

Textual Analysis

By Jeffrey Ho



An Examination of Matthew 19:9a

"And I say to you, whoever puts away his wife, if [it is] not on the basis of sexual immorality, and marries another [woman] commits adultery."¹

There have been two interpretations of Matthew 19:9a when the exception clause is applied to it. They are as follows:

1. Whoever puts away his wife on the basis of sexual immorality and marries another woman does not commit adultery.
2. Whoever puts away his wife on the basis of sexual immorality and marries another woman commits adultery.

In sentence 1, when the exception clause is applied to the first verbal phrase of Matthew 19:9a (puts away his wife), the last part of Matthew 19:9a (commits adultery) changes to "does not commit adultery." This is the meaning of the pro-remarriage view.

In sentence 2, when the exception clause is applied to the first verbal phrase

of Matthew 19:9a (puts away his wife), the last part of Matthew 19:9a (commits adultery) stays the same. This is the meaning of the no-remarriage view.

Which interpretation is correct? Certainly they cannot both be correct at the same time.

The best way to determine whether Matthew 19:9a permits remarriage or not is to construct other examples that have the same syntactic and semantic structure as Matthew 19:9a. In order to do this, three sentences must be constructed to understand the flow of argument and logic of Jesus' words. These sentences will be constructed according to the principles found in the gospels regarding divorce and remarriage. In other words, the divorce and remarriage texts in Matthew 5:32; 19:9; Mark 10:11, 12; and Luke 16:18 will be used to construct the three sentences.

The three skeletal sentences with their syntactic structures corresponding to the syntax of the divorce and remarriage texts in the gospels are as follows:

- (1) if A and B, then C. Mark 10:11, 12; Luke 16:18.
- (2) if A, except D, then C. Matthew 5:32.
- (3) if A, except D, and B, then C (Matthew 19:9)

where:

sentence 3 is a combination of sentences 1 and 2;

A = verbal phrase;

B = verbal phrase;

C = verbal phrase;

D = any phrase;

(if A, except D, and B, then C) cannot mean the same thing as (if A and B, except D, then C), because (except D) is only linked to A, not both A and B.

In sentence 1, A followed by B will always result in C. There are no exceptions to this rule.

In sentence 2, A will always result in C. An exception to this rule is when D is applied only to A.

In sentence 3, by preserving the analyses of sentences 1 and 2, A followed by B will always result in C. There are no exceptions to this rule. A will always result in C. An exception to this rule is when D is applied only to A.

Now that the skeletal structures and meanings of the three sentences are completed, they can be filled in with real phrases.

Two examples are provided, and their subject matter is modeled after Matthew 5:22 (regarding murder) and Matthew 5:28 (regarding adultery).

Example 1: Committing murder

1. Whoever is angry with his brother and kills him commits murder. Mark 10:11, 12; Luke 16:18.

2. Whoever is angry with his brother, except for a cause, commits murder. Matthew 5:32.

3. Whoever is angry with his brother, except for a cause, and kills him commits murder. Matthew 19:9.

Sentence 3 combines both sentences 1 and 2 and means only two things:

(a) Whoever is angry with his brother and kills him commits murder.

(b) Whoever is angry with his brother for a cause and kills him commits murder.

No-remarriage view: Only being angry for a cause not followed by killing is not murderous. Killing after any type of anger is always murderous. This is biblically sound.

Pro-remarriage view: “Whoever is angry with his brother for a cause and kills him does NOT commit murder.” This is biblically absurd. This is proof that when the exception clause is applied to “is angry,” “commits murder” does not become “does not commit murder.” This is also proof that the exception clause (except for a cause) only modifies the first verbal phrase (is angry with his brother) and not both verbal phrases (is angry with his brother and kills him), the latter of which is biblically impossible to do without sinning.

Example 2: Committing adultery

1. Whoever sexually desires a woman and copulates with another woman commits adultery. Mark 10:11, 12; Luke 16:18.

2. Whoever sexually desires a woman, unless she is his own wife, commits adultery. Matthew 5:32.

3. Whoever sexually desires a woman, unless she is his own wife, and copulates with another woman commits adultery. Matthew 19:9.

Sentence 3 combines both sentences 1 and 2 and means only two things:

(a) Whoever sexually desires a woman and copulates with another woman commits adultery.

(b) Whoever sexually desires a woman, who happens to be his own wife, and copulates with another woman commits adultery.

No-remarriage view: Only sexually desiring your own wife not followed by copulating with another woman is not adulterous. Copulating with another woman after any type of sexual desire is always adulterous. This is biblically sound.

Pro-remarriage view: “Whoever sexually desires a woman, who happens to be his own wife, and copulates with another woman does NOT commit adultery.” This is biblically absurd. This is proof that when the exception clause is applied to “sexually desires,” “commits adultery” does not become “does not commit adultery.” This is also proof that the exception clause (unless she is his own wife) only modifies the first verbal phrase (sexually desires a woman) and not both verbal phrases (sexually desires a woman and copulates with another woman), the latter of which is biblically impossible to do without sinning.

The above two examples show that the no-remarriage view works perfectly, and that the pro-remarriage view simply falls apart.

Now consider the meaning of the divorce and remarriage passages in the gospels:

Divorce and remarriage in the gospels

1. Whoever puts away his wife and marries another woman commits adultery. Matthew 5:32b; 19:9b; Mark 10:11-12; Luke 16:18.

2. Whoever puts away his wife, except on the basis of sexual immorality, causes her to commit adultery. Matthew 5:32a.

By saying “causes her to commit adultery,” Jesus is forcefully putting the guilt of sin on the husband who puts away his wife other than on the basis of sexual immorality. Because the husband put away his wife unjustly, he is responsible for

driving her into adultery if she remarries or commits sexual immorality. Therefore, according to Jesus’ teaching, the husband’s action is tantamount to adultery.²

This also shows Jesus’ hatred for divorce. Under the new covenant, just as Jesus declares that anger and lust also violate the Ten Commandments, in this manner He declares that divorce also violates the Ten Commandments. Therefore, a man who initiates a divorce is not free of any guilt.

3. Whoever puts away his wife, except on the basis of sexual immorality, and marries another woman commits adultery. Matthew 19:9a.

Sentence 3 combines both sentences 1 and 2 and means only two things:

(a) Whoever puts away his wife and marries another woman commits adultery.

(b) Whoever puts away his wife on the basis of sexual immorality and marries another woman commits adultery.

No-remarriage view: Only putting away your wife on the basis of sexual immorality not followed by remarriage is not adulterous. Remarriage after any type of putting away is always adulterous. This is biblically sound.

It has been shown that Matthew 19:9a does not permit remarriage after any divorce. If you choose to put away your wife on the basis of sexual immorality, then you are not sinning. However, any remarriage after this is always adultery. Therefore, in the first clause (19:9a), Jesus teaches no-remarriage. In the second clause (19:9b), He goes on to reinforce His no-remarriage position climactically by putting it in another way: whoever marries a woman who is put away commits adultery.

An Examination of Matthew 19:9b

Matthew 19:9b: “And he who marries a woman who is put away commits adultery.”



Matthew 5:32b: “And whoever marries a woman who is put away commits adultery.”

Luke 16:18a: “Every man who puts away his wife and marries another [woman] commits adultery.”

Luke 16:18b: “And every man who marries a woman who is put away by [her] husband commits adultery.”

Mark 10:11: “And He says to them, ‘Whoever puts away his wife and marries another [woman] commits adultery against her.’”

Mark 10:12: “And if a woman puts away her husband and is married to another [man], she commits adultery.”

There is a mistake in attempting to exegete only Matthew 19:9a when one should exegete the entirety of Matthew 19:9. If one examines and draws his conclusions only from Matthew 19:9a, then he can be susceptible to misguided conclusions. This is where Matthew 19:9b plays a very crucial role in the determination of whether remarriage after divorce is valid or not. In fact, Matthew 5:32b; 19:9b; and Luke 16:18b are the strongest evidence in the teachings of Jesus in the gospels that remarriage after divorce for any reason is forbidden.

Matthew 5:32b; 19:9b; and Luke 16:18b all say the same thing: A man who marries a woman who is put away commits adultery. In all three passages, regarding the woman who has been put away, it does not state any reasons for or exceptions to the putting away activity. All it states is that she is simply put away.

Therefore, whether she was put away justly or unjustly has no connection to the meaning of the “b” clauses.

The woman in Matthew 5:32b; 19:9b; and Luke 16:18b is simply “a woman,” indefinite, generic. It does not have the definite article. Therefore, it is not referring to the same woman in the previous clause. The “b” clauses refer to an indefinite woman who is put away, and nothing more. Matthew 5:32b; 19:9b; and Luke 16:18b are independent of Matthew 5:32a; 19:9a; and Luke 16:18a. Therefore, the “b” clauses stand alone and do not use the “a” clauses as part of their interpretation.

Additionally, in Mark 10:12, the woman who puts away her husband is not the same woman who is put away by her husband in Mark 10:11. Mark 10:12 is also independent of Mark 10:11. The word “woman” does not have the definite article in the four divorce and remarriage passages in Matthew, Mark, and Luke; this was not an accident but rather was done on purpose by each author. In fact, if one reads all four passages in context, the man in Matthew 5:32b; 19:9b; Luke 16:18b; and Mark 10:12 is not even the same man in Matthew 5:32a; 19:9a; Luke 16:18a; and Mark 10:11.

According to Matthew 5:32b; 19:9b; and Luke 16:18b, why does a man commit adultery if he marries a woman who is put away? Because the woman who is put away is, in the eyes of God, still bound to her first husband. Matthew 19:6. The woman and her first husband are still married in the eyes of God. They are still bound and married according to Jesus. And if the husband and the wife are still bound and married to one another, even though the woman is put away, then the husband will commit adultery if he remarries. Just as the woman who is put away commits adultery if she remarries, in this manner also the husband who puts away his wife commits adultery if he remarries. Therefore, this ultimately states that the

man in Matthew 5:32a and Matthew 19:9a commits adultery if he remarries.

There is no such thing as, after a just divorce, one person being bound but the other person not being bound, the guilty person not free to remarry but the innocent person free to remarry. Either they are both bound (with the result that they both will commit adultery if they remarry) or they are both not bound (with the result that they both will not commit adultery if they remarry). Once two people are unbound, is one still bound to the other, or vice versa? No. In the no-remarriage view, the husband and wife, after any type of divorce, are bound to one another until the physical death of one of them.

The end result is that neither the man nor the woman in the second half of all four divorce and remarriage passages is referring to the man or the woman in the first half of all four divorce and remarriage passages. Each half is independent of the other. To make one of the men or women in the second half be the same as one of the men or women in the first half is to force something that is not there.

All the above is to say that because Matthew 5:32b; 19:9b; and Luke 16:18b forbid the remarriage of a woman who is put away, Matthew 5:32a; 19:9a; and Luke 16:18a also forbid the remarriage of a man who puts away his wife. The reason is that, because a woman who is put away commits adultery in her second marriage, she is in fact still bound to her first husband and is still his wife; and if she is still bound to her husband, then her husband is still bound to her and is still her husband.

Conclusion

In both Matthew 19:9a and Matthew 19:9b, Jesus teaches no-remarriage. **SW**

¹ All scriptural verses in this article are taken from the Spirit of Prophecy Version (SPV), <http://www.spvbible.org>.

² Gordon J. Wenham and William E. Heth, *Jesus and Divorce*, updated ed. (Waynesboro, GA: Paternoster Press, 2002), 69-71.